Why Have So Few Doctors Told The Truth About The Covid Hoax + The Deadly Covid Injections?

TRUTH11.COM

Nov 13, 2025 16 min


1. THERE IS NO VIRUS
2. THERE WAS NO PANDEMIC
3. THE VACCINE IS KILLING PEOPLE
SO ANYONE TALKING ABOUT COVID IS A LIAR
AND
ANYONE PROMOTING THE VACCINE IS
A MURDERER
TRUTH11.COM

The Exposé | Rhoda Wilson | Expose-News.com

On Tuesday, the *Daily Mail* published an article about the thousands of transverse myelitis ("TM"), a rare neurological condition causing spinal inflammation, post-covid vaccination. The article featured the case of an American

Subscribe

orthopaedic surgeon, Dr. Joel Wallskog, who developed TM and became paralysed within days of receiving one dose of the Moderna vaccine in December 2020.

With such cases serving as a canary in the coal mine, how could it be that doctors encouraged their patients to “get vaccinated” so enthusiastically? In a book published earlier this year, Dr. Vernon Coleman suggests that many doctors remained silent about the truth regarding the “vaccines” as they were being well paid to inject people, or they were too terrified to speak out against the medical establishment.

Is this why so many doctors didn’t dare tell the truth about covid?: The cruel persecution of the innocent

Dr. Vernon Coleman | vernoncoleman.com

There is much bewilderment among intelligent doctors and scientists as to why so many doctors kept quiet about the lies being told when the covid-19 hoax and the fake pandemic unfolded.

So, why did so many doctors keep quiet about the covid-19 vaccine and continue to prescribe a product which has been accurately described as the most dangerous and damaging single pharmaceutical product ever marketed? The covid-19 jab did not do what the establishment promised it would do and, at the same time, it caused countless thousands of deaths and serious injuries among the patients who were injected.

There are two explanations for the fact that so many doctors ignored the evidence and did what they were told to do by dishonest advisors within the medical establishment and bought and paid for journalists and celebrities.

The first explanation is that all over the world, doctors were extraordinarily well paid to give the covid-19 jabs. Hospitals were given bribes (labelled as bonuses) which were dependent upon the number of patients they injected. Doctors were bought off, and dissuaded from asking too many questions, by being paid well over the normal fees for giving vaccinations. Those doctors will, in due course, appear in court where they will

be unable to mount any sort of defence. To say that they behaved unprofessionally and greedily is a massive understatement.

The second explanation is that doctors were too terrified to speak out against the medical establishment because they saw what had happened to colleagues who dared to share their views with their colleagues and the general public and who had had their licences removed by the official licensing authorities and, in addition, been vilified by the media.

The truth, so well hidden during the last three years, is that the medical establishment was, as it has been for decades, controlled by the pharmaceutical industry and instead of looking at the facts, licensing authorities around the world merely did as they were told to do. Numerous doctors lost their licences, and their livelihoods, because they dared to speak out and tell the truth. The majority of doctors, seeing what had happened to those who spoke out, kept quiet and betrayed their patients, themselves and their profession. Those gutless wimps should be ashamed.

In the United Kingdom, doctors are licensed by the General Medical Council, an organisation which is, in theory at least, a charity but which appears to have some of the worst qualities of a quango, a government department and an enforcer for the drug industry. I believe that drug companies control governments, they control the medical establishment and, it appears, they may also control the UK's medical licensing authority – the General Medical Council.

Fifty years ago, the General Medical Council ("GMC") was infamous for providing the Sunday newspapers with a regular diet of scandal and sleaze. The GMC specialised in striking off doctors who had been found abusing drugs or having sex with their patients. Occasionally, they would take aim at doctors who could be accused of advertising.

(I should mention, at this point, that in the 1970s, I attracted the attention of the GMC as a result of my writing a series of novels which I had written under a pen name. Responding to a complaint from a drug company, the GMC wrote to me and I was threatened with the removal of my name from the medical register. Since I was at the time an NHS GP with no private patients and since the novels had been written under a pen name which was a fairly well-kept secret – if not, apparently, from the GMC – the

case against me fell apart quite quickly. This did not, however, prevent the GMC from targeting me on other occasions when they received complaints from drug companies which objected to my more academic books – such as '*The Medicine Men*' – published in 1975 – in which I had exposed the close links between the pharmaceutical industry and the medical establishment. Over the years, I have been subjected to a constant stream of unsuccessful complaints and lawsuits from drug companies. My problems seemed to increase after my books started to appear in *The Sunday Times* bestseller lists and reach an ever wider readership.)

More recently, the GMC has become infamous for its extraordinarily one-sided defence of the exaggerated covid pandemic and the pointless but enormously dangerous covid vaccine.

When the fake pandemic was first promoted with enthusiasm in February and March 2020, I immediately described the covid scare as a hoax. [As did Truth11.com] The figures available proved without any question that the danger of what was clearly merely a rebranded annual flu had been massively exaggerated by people who had a bad track record at assessing the relevant figures. In the UK, the Government's own official advisors agreed with me, dismissing the covid-19 infection as being no more dangerous than the annual flu. Their expert advice appears to have been ignored in favour of advice from a mathematician with a terrible track record.

I have been writing about drug companies and medical deceits since the 1960s and I can spot a medical fraud a mile away. Naturally, the conspirators behind the exaggerated risk (and I use the word conspirators deliberately) did not like my description of the covid scare as a hoax (a video I made with this title was seen by many millions within days), and I was quickly demonised and lied about in the media. The GMC couldn't take away my licence because their own administrative rules meant that, as with many doctors, my retirement from active practice meant that I'd had to give up my licence. But younger doctors, those still in practice, were to feel the full wrath of the drug company-controlled medical establishment.

So, for example, consider the case of Dr. Mohammad Adil who was a respected surgeon working in the NHS. He was one of the very few medical doctors who criticised the covid fraud early on. (Other doctors, and some PhD scientists such as Mike Yeadon, were welcomed when they joined us later on.) When Dr. Adil criticised

the Government line on covid, the GMC responded by taking away his licence – meaning that he could no longer practise as a surgeon or, as a doctor in any capacity.

Today, Dr. Adil still doesn't have his licence. The cost to him has been extraordinary. And we should not forget the cost to the NHS. If we consider that in those three years he could have performed 1,000 operations a year – not an unlikely number – then his banishment means that thousands of patients have been denied the operations they needed.

Dr. Adil is not alone. I know of several other doctors in the UK who have had their licences removed for criticising the absurd and indefensible covid policy. When Dr Adil appealed against the loss of his licence, I wrote to the three judges on the Court of Appeal on Dr. Adil's behalf.

Here is the letter I sent:

> To Lord Justice Dingemans, Lord Justice Bean, Lord Justice Popplewell
>
> From Vernon Coleman, MB ChB DSc
>
> 23rd October 2023
>
> Dear Sirs,
>
> I am a retired GP principal and one of many British doctors who are appalled by the GMC's cruel and inhuman treatment of Dr. Mohammad Adil. I should, perhaps, point out that I have never met Dr. Adil and that my distress at the way he has been treated was aroused by my concern that he has been treated unfairly and unreasonably by the GMC.
>
> I would like to make the following points on Dr. Adil's behalf.
>
> First, the General Medical Council had significant investments in drug companies. And one of the companies in which the GMC had shares was one of the companies making a covid-19 vaccine. How can the GMC judge doctors' behaviour in relation to

covid and covid jabs when it had a vested interest in the financial success of vaccine manufacturers such as AstraZeneca? The GMC, which had money invested in vaccine manufacture, had a vested interest in protecting vaccine sales and should not, therefore, discipline doctors whose actions might have damaged the earning potential of any companies in which it has invested its own money. The GMC is punishing someone for criticising a product in which it has a financial interest. Indeed, I would argue that the GMC has abandoned its role as a guardian of the public and become an enforcer for the pharmaceutical industry.

Second, the GMC does not seem to have been consistent. Other doctors who spoke out about covid and the covid vaccine were not struck off the medical register.

Third, patients have also suffered from Dr. Adil's inhuman punishment. If we consider that in his lost three and a half years he could have performed 1,000 operations a year – not an unlikely number – then his three-and-a-half-year banishment means that 3,500 patients have been denied the operations they needed. Dr. Adil was not removed from the register for any professional incompetence.

Fourth, Article 19 of the United Nations Charter states clearly that "everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression." There is no codicil limiting the rights of doctors. The GMC's decision is in direct opposition to this fundamental human right. It has been argued that doctors have a special responsibility because of their position and training but this strengthens rather than weakens the UN charter. Doctors have a special responsibility to speak out when they believe that something is wrong. And, of course, you can't have a little bit of free speech any more than a woman can be a bit pregnant. You either have free speech or you don't. To say that a doctor cannot criticise the medical establishment is as nonsensical as saying that an opposition party politician cannot criticise the government. The GMC is undeniably in breach of the UN Charter. Doctors are entitled to share their views with the public and the public is entitled to decide whom to believe.

Fifth, the GMC has assumed that the government and the medical establishment must always be correct and beyond criticism. This is dangerous nonsense. One doesn't have to go very far back in history to find numerous examples of times when the government and the medical establishment have been completely wrong and, as a result, patients have suffered until doctors had the courage to stand up for

the truth. When Dr. John Snow gave chloroform to Queen Victoria, there was an uproar in the medical establishment because it was felt that women should not be given anaesthesia during childbirth. Electroconvulsive therapy, leucotomies and the removal of vast lengths of the intestine were all approved by the medical establishment but later condemned. It was because of the medical establishment that tonsils were removed without good reason. No one knows how many children died as a result. A good deal of unnecessary heart surgery has been performed on patients because of bad medical practices promoted by the medical establishment. It was because of bad medical practices condoned or encouraged by the medical establishment that millions of patients became hooked on barbiturates and then benzodiazepines. And I wonder how many of those who have condemned Dr. Adil know that widely used and previously approved vaccination programmes have been condemned as worthless and dangerous. History shows that the medical establishment has been wrong more often than it has been right, and if the GMC stops doctors criticising the government and the medical establishment (known to be linked to the pharmaceutical industry), then nothing will ever change for the better. If we go back a little further in medical history, we come across individuals such as Dr. Semmelweiss whose work on women lying in labour wards changed medical practice and saved thousands, if not millions of lives. Dr. Semmelweiss was, of course, viciously attacked by the medical establishment. The undeniable truth is that history shows that the medical establishment has always suppressed the truth and promoted profitable lies. Nothing has changed. The medical establishment still promotes medical procedures which don't work, while suppressing essential but inconvenient truths. The GMC's fundamental mistake appears to me to have been to have assumed that its loyalty should be to the medical establishment rather than to the welfare of patients.

Sixth, the evidence now shows quite clearly that the medical establishment's official line on covid-19 was completely false. Everything that the establishment has said and done has been provably wrong and dangerous. The General Medical Council and all those who supported its decisions seemed to have assumed that the establishment was right when, in truth, it was wrong. If the GMC had looked closely at the evidence, it would have known that the UK Government's own scientific advisers decided, back in March 2020, that covid was not a major threat. They would have known that Government statistics show that the number of people who died from covid-19 was no greater than the number who die from flu every year (a

> disease which had mysteriously and conveniently disappeared). Indeed, the number of deaths from what was clearly a rebranded flu was no greater in 2020 and 2021 than it was in some previous years. Moreover, it is now clear that the absurd policies of lockdowns, social distancing and mask wearing were without any scientific foundation, were unnecessary and dangerous and were in part responsible for the entirely predictable increase in deaths which marked 2022 and will continue for some years to come. The PCR test was never intended to be used as it was, and has been proven, beyond any doubt, to be of no more value than a coin toss. It is clear that the closing down of schools and businesses was also entirely unnecessary and has done massive, long-lasting damage. Worse still, it is now abundantly clear, and generally accepted by intelligent, well-informed doctors and scientists, that the covid-19 vaccine was never properly tested, was never fit for purpose and is the most dangerous and deadly pharmaceutical product ever marketed. Largely because of links with the pharmaceutical companies involved, the government and the medical establishment misled the public and the health professions. And yet, despite all the evidence, Government officers and the media have steadfastly refused to debate these issues in public (or, indeed, in private).
>
> In a free and progressive society, criticism of the establishment may be irritating and inconvenient but surely it should never be subject to censorship.
>
> Thank you
>
> Yours sincerely
>
> Vernon Coleman

The judges did not bother to reply or even acknowledge my letter. And Dr. Adil did not win his appeal.

Dr Adil is left with huge debts from the legal bills he incurred while fighting the authorities. If you want to support him, you can send a donation to: Mohammad I Adil, Account number 00109536, sort code 83-19-19, Royal Bank of Scotland, UK. ISBN GB69RBOS83191900109536, Swift Code RBOSGB2L. [Read more about Dr. Adil's

case HERE and about the World Doctor Alliance HERE, for which Dr. Adil was a founding member.]

Exactly the same thing has been happening around the world where licensing authorities have ignored the scientific evidence and punished doctors who have dared to share the truth with the world – usually on social media. It is this unscientific bullying, and the widespread publicity given to the consequences, which has contributed to the fact that thousands of doctors who share their doubts and fears have kept quiet – frightened that they too would lose their licences and their livelihoods. A doctor without a licence to practice is as useless as a sweep without his brushes or a taxi driver without a cab.

On the other hand, it is extraordinary that the GMC appears to have taken no action whatsoever against doctors who did not care about patients but allowed themselves to be bribed to vaccinate with an unnecessary and dangerous drug. Nor has it punished doctors who went on strike, demanding an inflationary 35% pay rise, and abandoned their patients – thereby breaking every moral, ethical and professional commitment.

The GMC's decision to deny Dr. Adil his licence was always unjustifiable. To say that a doctor cannot criticise the medical establishment is as nonsensical as saying that an opposition party politician cannot criticise the Government. The licensing authorities which have removed doctors' licences for speaking out are undeniably in breach of the UN Charter. How a lawyer or a judge can justify allowing any licensing body to deny an individual's right to protection from the UN Charter is, I confess, a mystery to me. Doctors are entitled to share their views with the public and the public is entitled to decide whom to believe.

It is worth noting, by the way, that right from the start, in early spring of 2020, the doctors supporting the Government and drug companies steadfastly refused to debate in public and the mainstream [corporate] media took an entirely biased, unbalanced one-sided line in reporting the fake pandemic. The BBC even stated that they would not interview anyone questioning the value of vaccination, whether they were "right or wrong." I have frequently challenged vaccine supporters to a live, national public debate. None has had the confidence or the courage to accept the challenge.

Second, the GMC has assumed that the Government and the medical establishment must always be correct and beyond criticism. This is dangerous nonsense. One doesn't have to go very far back in history to find numerous examples of times when the Government and the medical establishment have been completely wrong and, as a result, patients have suffered until doctors had the courage to stand up for the truth. When Dr. John Snow gave chloroform to Queen Victoria, there was an uproar in the medical establishment because it was felt that women should not be given anaesthesia during childbirth. Electroconvulsive therapy, leucotomies and the removal of vast lengths of the intestine were all approved by the medical establishment but later condemned. It was because of the medical establishment that tonsils were removed without good reason. No one knows how many children died as a result. A good deal of unnecessary heart surgery has been performed on patients because of bad medical practices promoted by the medical establishment. It was because of bad medical practices condoned or encouraged by the medical establishment that millions of patients became hooked on barbiturates and then benzodiazepines. And I wonder how many of those who have condemned Dr Adil know that widely used and previously approved vaccination programmes have been condemned as worthless and dangerous.

History shows that the medical establishment has been wrong more often than it has been right, and if the GMC stops doctors criticising the Government and the medical establishment (known to be linked to the pharmaceutical industry), then nothing will ever change for the better.

If we go back a little further in medical history, we come across individuals such as Dr Semmelweiss whose work on women lying in labour wards changed medical practice and saved thousands, if not millions of lives. Dr Semmelweiss was, of course, viciously attacked by the medical establishment. There are many more examples in my book '*Medical Heretics*'.

The undeniable truth is that history shows that the medical establishment has always suppressed the truth and promoted profitable lies. Nothing has changed. The medical establishment still promotes medical procedures which don't work, while suppressing essential but inconvenient truths. The GMC's fundamental mistake appears to me to

have been to have assumed that its loyalty should be to the pharmaceutical industry and the medical establishment rather than to the welfare of patients.

Third, and more directly perhaps, the evidence now shows quite clearly that the medical establishment's official line on covid-19 was completely false. Everything that the establishment has said and done has been wrong and dangerous. The General Medical Council and all those who supported its decisions seemed to have assumed that the establishment was right.

World Health Organization

World Health Organization

INFLUENZA LABORATORY SURVEILLANCE INFORMATION
Virus detections by subtype reported to FluNet

GISRS

Country, area or territory: All | WHO region: All | Influenza transmission zone: All | Hemisphere: All

Week start date: 10/29/2015 – 11/26/2022

KANEKOATHEGREAT



Years	Flu cases	Covid cases
2016-17	29 million	0
2017-18	45 million	0
2018-19	36 million	0
2019-20	38 million	0
2020-21	0.0015 million	32 million

If they had looked closely at the evidence, they would have known that the UK Government's own scientific advisers decided, back in March 2020, that covid-19 was not a major threat. They would have known that Government statistics show that the number of people who died from covid-19 was no greater than the number who die from flu every year (a disease which had mysteriously and conveniently disappeared). Indeed, the number of deaths from what was clearly a rebranded flu was no greater in 2020 and 2021 than it was in some previous years. Moreover, it is now clear that the absurd policies of lockdowns, social distancing and mask wearing were without any scientific foundation, were unnecessary and dangerous and were in part responsible for the entirely predictable increase in deaths which marked 2022 and will continue for some years to come. The PCR test was never intended to be used as it was, and has been proven, beyond any doubt, to be of no more value than a coin toss. It is clear that the closing down of schools and businesses was also entirely unnecessary and has done massive, long-lasting damage.

Worse still, it is now abundantly clear, and generally accepted by intelligent, well-informed doctors and scientists, that the covid-19 vaccine was never properly tested, was never fit for purpose and is the most dangerous and deadly pharmaceutical product ever marketed. Largely because of links with the pharmaceutical companies involved, the Government and the medical establishment misled the public and the health professions.

Finally, there is one other rather shocking reason why the GMC should not have made any rulings about Dr. Adil or any other doctors who criticised the official line on covid-19 and the covid-19 vaccine.

Remarkably, it seems to me that the General Medical Council has itself been behaving quite improperly. Its disciplinary actions must surely now come into question.

The General Medical Council (in my view, one of two big enemies of patients in the UK – the other is the British Medical Association) has invested nearly £1,000,000 in fast food and drink firms and, worse still, has invested large amounts of money from doctors' fees in drug companies. And one of the companies in which it had shares was one of the companies making a covid-19 vaccine.

How can the GMC judge doctors' behaviour in relation to covid and covid jabs when it has a vested interest in the financial success of vaccine manufacturers such as AstraZeneca?

It seems to me that it cannot.

I believe that any doctors who have lost their licences for criticising the fake pandemic and the toxic covid jab should be reinstated immediately since the GMC is clearly "contaminated."

It could surely be argued that the GMC, which has money invested in vaccine manufacture, has a vested interest in protecting vaccine-making and should not, therefore, discipline doctors whose actions might have damaged the earning potential of any companies in which it has invested its own money.

The GMC can be compared to a judge punishing someone for criticising a product in which he himself has a financial interest. Indeed, I would argue that the GMC, and its vast army of overpaid, and, it seems to me, sometimes arrogant, pen pushers, has abandoned its role as a guardian of the public and become an enforcer for the pharmaceutical industry.

Those doctors who had the wisdom to see that the Government and the medical establishment were wrong deserve praise, not punishment.

Those who had the courage to speak out should be applauded and it is they, not the promoters of a "vaccine" that does not do what it was promised to do but which has caused many deaths and much illness, who should be honoured.

In a free and progressive society, criticism of the establishment should never be subject to censorship.

My conclusion can only be that the General Medical Council is unfit for purpose and should be closed down immediately. It is not fit to hand out dog licences, and certainly not equipped to control the licensing of doctors. It has failed to do its job: to protect

the public, and it appears to me to have acted more in the interests of the pharmaceutical industry than the interests of patients.

I believe that other licensing bodies around the world may, on investigation, be shown to have similarly failed their publics, and I hope that they too will be investigated.

The above is based on material from Vernon Coleman's book `The End of Medicine'.

Source: https://www.vernoncoleman.com/oftheinnocent.htm

Image: Source [Truth11.com meme from 2020]

Original Article: https://expose-news.com/2025/11/13/why-have-so-few-doctors-dared-to-tell-the-truth/

Note: Comments placed in [ ] are added by Truth11.com editor. For example; [Flu]

COMMENTS (0)

Subscribe to Truth11.com

Receive Articles By Email • Support Independent Media

Subscribe now

Support Truth11.com | • Make A Donation

• Become A Monthly Subscriber

TRUTH11.COM | Armed With The Truth • United We Stand

© Truth11.com 2025